arXiv:hep-ph/0212313v1 20 Dec 2002

High-energy spin effects and structure of elastic scattering amplitude

Vojtěch Kundrát, Miloš Lokajíček

Institute of Physics, AS CR, 182 21 Praha 8, Czech Republic

O.V. Selyugin *

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980, Russia

February 1, 2008

Abstract

A behavior of imaginary and real parts of the high-energy elastic hadron scattering amplitude is examined in the diffraction region. It is shown that the interference between Coulomb and hadronic scattering at small momentum transfers and especially in the region of diffractive minimum can bring some information about the structure of the hadron spin-non-flip amplitude.

1 Introduction

One of the goals of modern physics is the exploration of internal structures of microscopic matter objects; the main attention being devoted to nucleons at present. Even if some characteristics of nucleon structure may be described on the basis of QCD there are still many open questions, as the most experimental data on diffractive scattering have been interpreted with the help of phenomenological models. The theory has concerned mainly the nucleon structure playing a role in deep inelastic nucleon scattering while a similar theory of diffractive collisions has not yet been practically available.

In the most phenomenological approaches to high-energy elastic hadron scattering one uses the elastic hadron scattering amplitude with a dominant imaginary part vanishing at the diffractive minimum [1, 2]; its real part is introduced only to fill in the non-zero value of differential cross section $d\sigma/dt$ in the diffraction dip. The corresponding elastic phase remains very small in a rather broad interval of momentum transfers t. Performing Fourier-Bessel transformation of such an amplitude one always obtains a central behavior of elastic hadron scattering in the impact parameter space, i.e., with the majority of elastic hadronic collisions realized at impact parameter value $b = 0$; colliding nucleons are interpreted as transparent objects [3].

However, the existence of diffractive minimum does not mean at all that the imaginary part of the hadronic amplitude should vanish in it; it means only that the sum of the squares of both its real and imaginary parts has a minimum. It has been shown [4] that experimental data may be well described with the help of amplitude giving a peripheral behavior (having maximum at a higher value of b). In such a case the imaginary part of hadronic amplitude is dominant in a much smaller region of t only. The peripheral interpretation of elastic hadron scattering at high-energy enables a unified description of all diffractive processes, i.e., of both the elastic and diffractive production channels.

The behavior of high-energy elastic hadron collisions in the impact parameter space can be simply characterized by the values of root-mean-squares of impact parameters corresponding to the elastic, inelastic and as well as total scattering which can be easily calculated directly from the t dependent elastic hadron scattering amplitude [5]. These quantities characterize the ranges of forces responsible for all mentioned kinds of scattering. The values of elastic and inelastic root-mean-squares of impact parameters differ significantly in the case of the central and peripheral interpretations of elastic hadron scattering.

As the interference region of the Coulomb and hadronic interaction is very narrow the elastic scattering data themselwes do not allow to decide between mentioned different alternatives. And it is necessary to look for other kinds of experiments. One possibility may consist in experiments with polarized nucleons. Such experiments are planned to be performed at RHIC and LHC [6, 7, 8]. They include the measurement of spin correlation parameters in the diffractive region of pp elastic scattering. The goal of this paper is

to investigate whether they might contribute to giving an answer to the given question. The individual spin components exhibit different t dependence for peripheral or central behaviors which may manifest in different predictions concerning the analyzing power at higher $|t|$ values.

2 Elastic hadron scattering amplitudes

The differential cross sections determined in an experiment are described by the absolute square of the total scattering amplitude including the electromagnetic and hadronic forces

$$F(s,t) = F_C(s,t)\exp\left(i\alpha\varphi(s,t)\right) + F_N(s,t), \tag{1}$$

where $F_C(s,t)$ stands for the Coulomb amplitude known from QED and $F_N(s,t)$ is the elastic hadronic amplitude; $\alpha = 1/137$ is the fine structure constant. For the relative phase $\varphi(s,t)$ between the Coulomb and hadronic amplitudes various expressions are used. In the usual approaches the West and Yennie simplified formula [9] valid for very small values of $|t|$ is used. However, for the analysis at higher values of $|t|$ more precise formula seems to be preferable [10, 11, 12]

$$\varphi(s,t) = \varphi_C(s,t) - \varphi_{CN}(s,t), \tag{2}$$

where $\varphi_C(s,t)$ stands for the second Born approximation of the pure Coulomb amplitude and the term $\varphi_{CN}(s,t)$ is defined by the Coulomb-hadron interference. It has been found that the interference of the hadronic and electromagnetic amplitudes may give an important contribution not only at very small transfer momenta but also in the region of the diffraction minimum [10]. For this purpose one should know the $\varphi(s,t)$ at sufficiently large momentum transfers, too.

As a standard amplitude let us take the amplitudes proposed in Ref. [13]. It has been shown on the basis of sum rules [15] that the main contribution to hadron interaction at large distances comes from the triangle diagram with 2π meson exchange in the t channel. As a result, the hadron amplitude can be represented as a sum of central and peripheral parts of the interaction

$$F_N(s,t) \propto T_c(s,t) + T_p(s,t), \tag{3}$$

where $T_c(s,t)$ describes the interaction between the central parts of hadrons; and $T_p(s,t)$ is the sum of contributions of diagrams corresponding to the interactions of the central part of one hadron with the meson cloud of the other. The contribution of these diagrams to the scattering amplitude with the $N(\Delta$ isobar) in the intermediate state looks as follows [13]:

$$T^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}_{N(\Delta)}(s,t) = \frac{g^2_{\pi NN(\Delta)}}{i(2\pi)^4}\int d^4q T_{\pi N}(s,t)\zeta_{N(\Delta)}[(k-q),q^2]\zeta_{N(\Delta)}[(p-q),q^2] \times\frac{\Gamma^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(q,p,k,)}{[q^2-M^2_{N(\Delta)}+i\epsilon][(k-q)^2-\mu^2+i\epsilon][(p-q)^2-\mu^2+i\epsilon]}. \quad (4)$$

Here λ_1,λ_2 are helicities of nucleons, $g^2_{\pi NN(\Delta)}$ is the coupling constant, $T_{\pi N}$ is the πN scattering amplitude, Γ is the matrix element of the numerator of the representation of the diagram and ζ are vertex functions chosen in the dipole form with the parameters $\beta_{N(\Delta)}$:

$$\zeta_{N(\Delta)}(l^2, q^2 \propto M^2_{N(\Delta)}) = \frac{\beta^4_{N(\Delta)}}{(\beta^2_{N(\Delta)}-l^2)^2}. \quad (5)$$

The model with the N and Δ contributions provides a self-consistent picture of the differential cross section of different hadron processes at high energies. Really, parameters in the amplitude determined from one reaction, for example, elastic pp scattering, allow one to obtain a wide range of results for elastic meson-nucleon scattering and charge-exchange reaction $\pi^- p \to \pi^0 n$ at high energies.

The preceeding approach of separating the Coulomb and hadronic scattering is convenient in the case of usual weakly t dependent phase giving the central description of elastic hadron collisions in the impact parameter space. However, when the t dependent phase strongly increases with the increasing $|t|$ the more convenient method of separation of Coulomb and hadronic scattering consists in the application of the approach proposed in Refs. [4, 14] valid at any s and t (up to the terms linear in α). However, the dynamics of hadronic amplitude leading to peripherality has not been up to now theoretically specified. In principle it could be described in different ways, e.g., in the simple Regge pole model with the help of complex t dependent residue functions, complex Regge trajectories, etc. Instead of it, in an analogy with the use of current analysis based on the West and Yennie amplitude [9] a convenient parameterization of both the modulus and

the phase has been used (for detail, see Ref. [4]). Such an approach enables to specify the elastic hadron scattering amplitude $F_N(s,t)$ directly from the elastic scattering data.

The difference between the phases leading either to central or peripheral distributions of elastic hadron scattering in the impact parameter space can be seen in Fig. 1; the graphs correspond to $\bar{p}p$ scattering at energy of 541 GeV [4]; similar t dependencies may be also exhibited by the phases corresponding to the pp elastic scattering at energy of 53 GeV (see Ref. [4]).



Fig. 1. The t dependent phases of elastic hadron scattering amplitudes leading to peripheral (solid line) and central (dashed line) distributions of elastic hadron scattering in the impact parameter space.

3 Analysis of A_N

Now, let us examine the form of analyzing power of pp elastic scattering using the previous specifications of the scattering amplitudes. The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}$ and spin parameters A_N are defined as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{2\pi}{s^2}\ (|\Phi_1|^2 + |\Phi_2|^2 + |\Phi_3|^2 + |\Phi_4|^2 + 4|\Phi_5|^2), \tag{6}$$

$$A_N \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = -\frac{4\pi}{s^2}\ \Im[(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3 - \Phi_4)\Phi_5^*)], \tag{7}$$

where Φ_j are usual helicity amplitudes. It has been shown in Refs. [16] that due to presence of the Coulomb and hadronic interactions each total helicity amplitude $\Phi_j(s,t)$ can be written as the sum of the hadronic $\Phi_j^N(s,t)$ and electromagnetic $\Phi_j^{elm}(s,t)$ helicity amplitudes bound with the same relative phase $\varphi(s,t)$ valid for small $|t|$ values

$$\Phi_j(s,t) = \Phi_j^N(s,t) + \Phi_j^{elm}(s,t)e^{\varphi(s,t)}, \tag{8}$$

where the electromagnetic helicity amplitudes can be explicitly determined within the framework of QED. Their limiting values at high energies have been given in Ref. [10]; only three of them are independent at asymptotic energies.

At present, the spin effects owing to the Coulomb-nucleon interference (CNI) at very small transfer momenta have been widely discussed in the relation to future spin experiments at RHIC and LHC. These effects had not been well understood in the domain of the diffraction minimum. This is due to the fact that the Coulomb-hadron interference phase at higher $|t|$ has not been known sufficiently. In [11], the phase φ_c of the pure Coulomb amplitude in the second Born approximation with the form factor in the monopole and dipole forms has been calculated in a broad region of t. It has been shown that the behavior of φ_c at higher values of t sharply differs from the behavior of φ_c obtained in [17].

For the total phase factor that can be used in the whole diffraction region of elastic hadron scattering it has been found [10]

$$\varphi(s,t) = \ln\frac{q^2}{4} + 2\gamma + \frac{1}{F_N(s,q)}\int_0^\infty \tilde{\chi}_c(\rho)(1-\exp(\chi_h(\rho,s))J_0(\rho,q)d\rho, \tag{9}$$

with

$$\tilde{\chi}_c(\rho) = 2\rho\ln\rho + 2\rho K_0(\rho\Lambda)[1+\frac{5}{24}\Lambda^2\rho^2] + \frac{\Lambda\rho}{12}K_1(\rho\Lambda)[11+\frac{5}{4}\Lambda^2\rho^2]. \tag{10}$$

Here K_0 and K_1 are modified Bessel functions of the zero and first orders, $\Lambda = 0.71\text{GeV}^2$, $\gamma = 0.577$ is Euler constant and $t = -q^2$. Then the contributions of the Coulomb-hadron interference to the analyzing power A_N^{CN} (including the two possible structures of spin-non-flip amplitude at small transfer momenta and in the diffraction dip domain) of the pp elastic scattering at small $|t|$ for $\sqrt{s} = 540$ GeV is shown in Fig. 2.

The obtained form of A_N^{CN} at small momentum transfers differs for the two variants beginning at $|t| > 0.05$ GeV^2. The difference reach 2% at $-t = 0.15\ GeV^2$ and, in principle, can be measured in accurate experiment.

Now, let us calculate the Coulomb-hadron interference effect in the analyzing power A_N^{CN} in the two alternatives for higher $|t|$:
(i) the diffraction dip is created by the "zero" of the imaginary part of the scattering amplitude and the real part fills it in
(ii) the diffraction dip is created by the "zero" of the real part part of the scattering amplitude and the imaginary part fills it in

The results are shown in Fig. 3 for $\sqrt{s} = 50$ GeV and in Fig. 4 at $\sqrt{s} = 540$ GeV.



Fig. 2. Calculated analyzing power (the solid line) corresponds to the first variant characterized by zero of the real part at small $|t|$; the dashed line corresponds to the second variant with imaginary part vanishing at small $|t|$.



Fig. 3. Calculated analyzing power in the region of the diffraction minimum at $\sqrt{s} = 50$ GeV (the solid line corresponds to the variant I with zero of $\Im F_N$ at dip; the dashed line shows the variant II, with the zero of the $\Re F_N$ at the dip).



Fig. 4. Calculated analyzing power in the region of the diffraction minimum at $\sqrt{s} = 540$ GeV (the solid line corresponds to the variant I with zero of $\Im F_N$ at dip; the dashed line shows the variant II, with the zero of the $\Re F_N$ at the dip).

In the region below the diffraction minimum, we obtain in the first case a positive non-small contribution which changes (reduces) the size of the spin correlation parameter owing to the hadron-spin-flip amplitude. Especially it is to be noted that there is a heavy shift of the point where A_N changes its sign. The calculations show that such additional

contributions lead to the change of the position of the negative maximum of A_N to larger $|t|$. In the other case, with the zero of the real part of the scattering amplitude, we obtain only negative contribution which enhanced the magnitude of A_N owing to the hadron-spin-flip amplitude and change the position of maximum to the low $|t|$. Such a picture corresponds to the highest energy at RHIC. Of course, A_N^{CN} has small magnitude but can be measured in an accurate experiment.

4 Conclusion

We have shown that the two different t dependent phases of elastic hadron scattering amplitude giving the central and peripheral distributions of elastic hadron scattering in the impact parameter space can give also different predictions of the spin correlation parameter - the analyzing power A_N at higher values of $|t|$; mainly in the region of diffractive minimum. This is due to the the interference of the spin-non-flip elastic scattering amplitude and the electromagnetic spin-flip amplitude. The measurement of the correction A_N^{CN} in the domain of the diffraction minimum can give valuable information about the structure of the elastic scattering amplitude. In spite of the large contribution of the hadron-spin-flip amplitude, we can see that taking into account the odderon contributions leads to visible changes in spin correlation effects. So, the precise measurement of A_N in the region of the diffraction minimum and the treatment of their energy dependence can give some additional information which would allow to define the sign and magnitude of the odderon contribution, too. One should mention the importance of the t dependence of the odderon amplitude, and therefore, the possible bounds on the t dependence of the odderon amplitude might be also revealed in the given experiments.

References

[1] M. M. Block and R. N. Cahn, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 563 (1985).

[2] G. Matthiae, Rep. Progr. Phys. **57**, 743 (1994).

[3] H.G. Miettinen, Proc. Rencontre de Moriond, Meribel les Allues, Vol. 1 (ed. J. Tran Thanh Van, Orsay 1974).

[4] V. Kundrát and M. Lokajíček, Z. Phys. C **63**, 619 (1994).

[5] V. Kundrát and M. Lokajíček, Phys. Lett. **B544**, 132 (2002).

[6] N.Akchurin, A.Bravar, M.Conte, A.Penzo, University of Iowa Report 93-04.

[7] W. Guryn *et al.*, *Total and Differential Cross Sections and Polarization Effects in pp Elastic Scattering at RHIC* (unpublished).

[8] S.B.Nurushev, A.G.Ufimtsev, Proc. "Hera-N", Dubna, (1996).

[9] G. B. West, D. R. Yennie, Phys. Rev. **172**, 1414 (1968).

[10] O.V. Selyugin, *Phys.Rev.* **D 60** , 074028 (1999).

[11] O.V. Selyugin, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A 12** , 1379 (1997).

[12] O. V. Selyugin, Phys. Lett. **B 333**, 245 (1994).

[13] S. V. Goloskokov, S. P. Kuleshov, O. V. Selyugin, Zeitschr. fur Phys. **C 50**, 455 (1991).

[14] V. Kundrát and M. Lokajíček, Mod. Phys. Lett.**A 11**, 2241 (1996).

[15] O. V. Selyugin, *Yad. Fiz.* **55**, 841 (1992).

[16] N. H. Buttimore, E. Gotsman, E. Leader, *Phys. Rev.* **D 35**, 407 (1987); L.I. Lapidus, *Particles & Nuclei* **9**, 84 (1978).

[17] R. Cahn, Zeitschr. fur Phys. **C 15**, 253 (1982).